

# **Discussion of “The ‘CorE’ Dynamics of Corporate Soft-Regulation: Theory and Evidence” by Álvaro Bustos and Eduardo Walker**

---

Lucas Rosso

SECHI 2022

# Summary of the Paper

**Big picture.** What determines firms' level of compliance of corporate practices?

- More than just regulation!  
→ efficiency, scandal risks, marketing, etc

**Goal:** build and test tractable model that describes firm-level compliance of corporate governance practices and its dynamics

- model predicts level and speed of convergence increasing in **initial level**, **effectiveness** and cost of a **value destroying event** (e.g. scandal)
- model does not necessarily converge to full adoption
- consistent with firm-level data from Chile

# Overview of the Model

Two periods (pre-regulation and regulation), two channels (rational, institutional)

- **Pre-regulation.** Firms  $i$  perceive utility  $u^P$  from adopting corporate practice  $p \in \{1, \dots, P\}$  at cost  $c_i^P \sim U(0, 1)$   
→ Firms adopt  $p$  if  $u^P > c_i$  and thus share adopting is  $a_0^P = u^P$
- **Regulation.** Same logic as above, but now investor premium  $a_{t-1}^P$ , cost of 'value destroying event'  $L^P a_{t-1}^P$  and probabilities of that event  $1 - a_*^P$  (adopters) and  $1 - a_{t-1}^P$  (non adopters).

$$a_t^P = \underbrace{a_{t-1}^P}_{\text{previous period compliers}} + \underbrace{L^P a_{t-1}^P (a_*^P - a_{t-1}^P)}_{\text{new compliers}}$$

→ **Speed of converge.**  $\frac{a_t^P - a_{t-1}^P}{a_{t-1}^P} = L^P (a_*^P - a_{t-1}^P)$

## Main takeaways from the model

Under certain conditions ( $L^P$  not too big), the model predicts that:

1. heterogeneity in 'long-run' compliance across practices (i.e.  $a_*^P$  not constant in  $p$ ).
2. Compliance increasing in time  $a_t^P \geq a_{t-1}^P$ .
3. Compliance is greater for greater  $a_0$ ,  $L^P$  and  $a_*^P$ .
4. Speed of convergence decreasing in time and initial compliance.

## General Comments

- Why rational and institutional channels are only in one stage of the model?
- Diffusion process dynamics heavily rely in assumption on investor premium ( $a_{t-1}^p$ )
- Robustness test for presence of institutional channel in pre-regulation depends on the structure of the model
  - What about elements outside the model (e.g. firm age)
  - On a side note, lack of correlation can be due to high measurement error in  $a_0$  (uninformative answers at early stages)

## My take

- Very complete paper! theory, empirics, policy implications
- No *one-size-fits-all* compliance policy
  - Policymakers must consider heterogeneity for corporate governance compliance policies
- I think the model can benefit from more general approach.

## Minor comments (just for authors)

- Probably a typo in Table 2: “*Board has introduced procedures that will **reduce** organization, social and cultural diversity.*”
- It might be useful to note that firms now  $c_i$  *ex-ante* in this sentence: “If firm  $i$  decides to comply with practice  $p \in \{1, \dots, P\}$  **then** it pays cost  $c_i^p$  uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$ ”